

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 233/2021/SCIC

Monica Cardozo,
H.No. 996/B, Escrivao,
Candolim, Bardez-Goa. 403515.

.....Appellant

V/S

Public Information Officer,
Assistant Director of Transport,
Directorate of Transport,
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.

.....Respondent

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 20/09/2021

Decided on: 17/01/2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Monica Cardozo, r/o, H.No. 996/B, Escrivao, Candolim, Bardez-Goa, vide applications dated 24/11/2020 and 28/11/2020, filed under sec 6(1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), The Director, Directorate of Transport, Panaji-Goa.
2. The said request was transferred by PIO under sec 6(3) of the Act to another PIO, the Assistant Director of Transport, North Goa, Mapusa-Goa.
3. Since the application was not replied by the PIO, within stipulated period, deeming the same as refusal, Appellant filed first appeal before the Deputy Director of Transport, North Goa at Panaji Goa being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
4. The FAA by its order dated 23/06/2021 allowed the said appeal and directed the PIO to furnish the information free of cost within eight days from the date of receipt of the order.

5. Since the PIO did not comply with the order of FAA, the Appellant preferred this second appeal under sec 19(3) of the Act before the Commission.
6. Parties were notified, pursuant to which PIO, Mr. Madan Fatarpekar appeared and filed reply. He also submitted that he is ready and willing to furnish the information. Accordingly the Commission directed the PIO to furnish the information on next date of hearing.
7. During the course of hearing of this appeal on 17/12/2021, PIO appeared and furnished bunch of documents to the representative of Appellant. Appellant sought time to scrutinise the documents, therefore the matter was posted for clarification /arguments.
8. Today i.e 17/01/2022, the Appellant appeared in person and submitted that she has scrutinised the information provided by the PIO and is satisfied with the pointwise information provided to her and therefore she does not want to proceed with the matter. The Appellant also endorsed on appeal memo that "I am withdrawing this appeal application."

In view of the endorsement made by the Appellant the matter is disposed off.

- Proceedings closed.
- Pronounced in the open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-
(Vishwas R. Satarkar)
State Chief Information Commissioner